(51) International Patent Classification [6] :		(11) International Publication Number: **WO 97/34522**
A61B 5/00	**A1**	(43) International Publication Date: 25 September 1997 (25.09.97)

(21) International Application Number: PCT/US97/04240

(22) International Filing Date: 20 March 1997 (20.03.97)

(30) Priority Data:
08/619,031   20 March 1996 (20.03.96)   US

(71) Applicant: LOCKHEED MARTIN ENERGY SYSTEMS, INC. [US/US]; P.O. Box 2009, Oak Ridge, TN 37831-8243 (US).

(72) Inventors: CLAPP, Ned, E.; 317 Highfield Road, Knoxville, TN 37923 (US). HIVELY, Lee, M.; 192 Williams Road, Philadelphia, TN 37849 (US).

(74) Agent: SMIRMAN, Preston, H.; Lockheed Martin Energy Research Corporation, P.O. Box 2009, Oak Ridge, TN 37831-8243 (US).

(81) Designated States: AL, AU, BA, BB, BG, BR, CA, CN, CU, CZ, EE, GE, HU, IL, IS, JP, KP, KR, LC, LK, LR, LT, LV, MG, MK, MN, MX, NO, NZ, PL, RO, SG, SI, SK, TR, TT, UA, UZ, VN, ARIPO patent (GH, KE, LS, MW, SD, SZ, UG), Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European patent (AT, BE, CH, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

Published
With international search report.
Before the expiration of the time limit for amending the claims and to be republished in the event of the receipt of amendments.

(54) Title: METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR EXTRACTION OF LOW-FREQUENCY ARTIFACTS FROM BRAIN WAVES FOR ALERTNESS DETECTION

(57) Abstract

Methods and apparatus automatically detect alertness in humans by monitoring and analyzing brain wave signals (13). Steps include: acquiring the brain waves (EEG or MEG) data from the subject, digitizing the data, separating artifact data from raw data, and comparing trends in F-data alertness indicators, providing notification of inadequate alertness.

METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR EXTRACTION OF LOW-FREQUENCY
ARTIFACTS FROM BRAIN WAVES FOR ALERTNESS DETECTION

The United States Government has rights in this invention pursuant to contract no. DE-AC05-84OR21400 between the United States Department of Energy and Lockheed Martin Energy Systems, Inc.

FIELD OF THE INVENTION

The present invention relates to the use of human electroencephalogram (EEG) data and magnetoencephalogram (MEG) data for detecting alertness, and more particularly to the use of a zero-phase filter for separating artifact data from raw data to enable the artifact data to be examined for indicators of fatigue and/or drowsiness.

CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS

This invention is related to Docket No. 1742-X Epileptic Seizure Detection by Non-linear Methods by Lee M. Hively, Ned E. Clapp, C. Stuart Daw, and William F. Lawkins and to Docket No. 1743-X Epileptic Seizure Prediction by Non-linear Methods by Lee M. Hively, Ned E. Clapp, C. Stuart Daw, and William F. Lawkins, both of which are filed on even date herewith, and both of which are assigned to the same entity..

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

It has long been accepted that in humans, blinking of the eyes serves to cleanse and lubricate the corneal surface, and that the rate of blinking and other measures of blinking could be attributed to environmental conditions (such as humidity and particulates in the air). It has also been postulated by investigators that blinking is strongly influenced by other factors such as fatigue and drowsiness, and may be monitored to provide some indication of alertness, which is used herein to mean the absence of fatigue and drowsiness.

The ability to measure alertness, or to detect the absence of alertness or presence of fatigue or drowsiness is desired. Many work assignments require a high level of alertness, often in environments not conducive to maintaining alertness. Examples of these are: nuclear

reactor operators, aircraft pilots and other operators of complex equipment, air traffic controllers and others whose work environments provide little visual stimulus of a non-monotonous nature. Often consequences of lapses in alertness in these conditions can be grave, involving a high degree of hazard to life and property. It is this connection that has led the inventors to develop this method and apparatus for detection of alertness.

OBJECTS OF THE INVENTION

Accordingly, it is an object of the present invention to provide new and improved methods and apparatus for extraction of low-frequency artifacts from brain waves to permit use of the artifact data for detecting alertness in subjects.

It is a second object to provide a new and improved zero-phase filter for use in separating artifact brain wave data from raw brain wave data to permit using the artifact data to detect alertness in subjects.

Further and other objects of the present invention will become apparent from the description contained herein.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

In accordance with one aspect of the present invention, the foregoing objects are achieved by a method for automatically extracting low-frequency artifacts from brain waves which comprises the steps of: providing at least one channel of raw brain wave data, called e-data, selected from the group consisting of electroencephalogram data and magnetoencephalogram data; and separating artifact data, called f-data, from the e-data while preventing phase distortions in the data, by passing the e-data through a zero-phase quadratic filter which provides an output of f-data, whereby the f-data may be further analyzed to detect alertness.

In accordance with a second aspect of the present invention, the foregoing and other objects are achieved by apparatus for automatically detecting alertness in a subject which comprises: data provision means for providing at least one channel of the subject's raw brain wave data, called e-data, selected from the group consisting of electroencephalogram data and magnetoencephalogram data; and separation means for separating artifact data, called f-data,

2

from the e-data while preventing phase distortions in the data, said separation means comprising a zero-phase quadratic filter providing an output of f-data, said separation means communicably connected to said data provision means, whereby the f-data may be further analyzed to detect alertness.

In accordance with a third aspect of the present invention, the foregoing and other objects are achieved by a zero-phase filter for separating artifact brain wave data from raw brain wave data selected from the group consisting of electroencephalogram data and magnetoencephalogram data comprises a zero-phase quadratic filter wherein the data is fit to a quadratic equation that takes the form

$$F_i = F(t_i) = a_1 (t_i - t_c)^2 + a_2 (t_i - t_c) + a_3 = a_1 T_i^2 + a_2 T_i + a_3$$

wherein $t_c = c \Delta t$ is the time at the central point, and $T_i = t_i - t_c$; this approximation is fitted to the data, by minimizing the sum of squares of the differences between the quadratic equation, $F(t)$, and the raw electroencephalogram data, $e(t)$, corresponding to the minimum in the function

$$L = \sum_{i=c-n}^{c+n} [F(t_i) - e(t_i)]^2 = \sum_{i=-n}^{n} [(a_1 T_i^2 + a_2 T_i + a_3) - e_{i+c}]^2 ;$$

the minimum in L is found from the condition $\partial L/\partial a_k = 0$, for $k = \{1, 2, 3\}$, forming three simultaneous linear equations in three unknowns; the window-averaged artifact (F_c) is given by the fitted value of the central point, $F_c = F(0) = a_3$; the sums over odd powers of T_i are zero and symmetric sums over even powers of T_i (over i from -n to +n) can be converted to sums from 1 to n with $T_i = i \Delta t$, yielding a window-averaged solution for the artifact signal

$$F_c = \frac{3 (3n^2 + 3n -1)(\Sigma_i e_{i+c}) - 15 (\Sigma_i i^2 e_{i+c})}{(4n^2 + 4n - 3) (2n + 1)} ; \text{ and}$$

the filter is embodied a programmed integrated circuit semiconductor chip.

3

SUBSTITUTE SHEET (RULE 28)

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

In the drawings:

Fig. 1 is a block diagram showing how brain wave data is obtained from the subject, digitized, processed, and analyzed to detect alertness, drowsiness, or fatigue. 11 shows the patient's head, looking from above. 13 shows EEG electrode positions on the patient's scalp. 15 shows a measure of alertness, drowsiness, or fatigue.

Fig. 2 shows standard EEG electrode positions on a patient's scalp for the bipolar montage, looking from above. C13 labels the position where the channel 13 data, which is used in this work originates.

Fig. 3 shows sample plots of EEG data, and compares raw (e-data) with artifact-filtered (g-data).

For a better understanding of the present invention, together with other and further objects, advantages and capabilities thereof, reference is made to the following disclosure and appended claims in connection with the above-described drawings.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

This invention has been developed as an adjunct to the development of methods and apparatus for detection and prediction of epileptic seizures by non-linear methods. In that work, it was necessary to develop methods and apparatus for separating certain brain-wave (EEG or MEG) data from other data in order to detect and/or predict seizures. The data that was separated was primarily "artifact" data, that is data resulting from eye blinks, chewing, and other movements not related to brain activity. The separation of these types of data had to be done without disturbing phase relationships within the data in order for the seizure detection and prediction methods to be effective.

In the work described herein, sixteen channels of EEG data were analyzed. The data were retrieved in analog form from VHS tapes and converted to digital form with 12-bit precision, giving an integer between -2048 and +2047. The digital sampling rate (f_s) was 512 Hz over a total sample time of 10-23 minutes, corresponding to a total dataset size of 9.8-22.5 megabytes in binary form.

4

It is acknowledged that to detect drowsiness or fatigue, or to detect alertness, as it occurs, the brain wave data used would not be recorded data, but would be currently-occurring data. This data would be taken from the patient to the apparatus directly using standard EEG or MEG methods, or other more convenient convenient means such as eyeglasses, a hat, headband, or the like that would comprise the means for picking up the signal from the subject. The eyeglasses, hat, headband, or the like would be communicably connected directly to the means for separating the artifact data from the raw data, or indirectly by transmitting the data to an apparatus remote from the patient by means such as telephone, radio, or other communications means well known to the skilled artisan.

Accordingly, a zero-phase quadratic filter was developed for use in separating the "clean" brain wave data from the artifact data. Now, in this invention a second use for the filter is found, and the artifact data is put to new use in a method and apparatus for alertness detection.

The method is accomplished by monitoring brain waves as recorded in standard digital electroencephalogram (EEG) data or magnetoencephalogram (MEG) data, separating from the raw data artifact data, including indications of eye blinks, twitches, movements of the head and jaw, etc., analyzing the artifact data for patterns of eye blinks that indicate fatigue or drowsiness, detecting indications of fatigue or drowsiness, and providing an indication of fatigue to the subject or other person or data system.

In the course of developing methods and apparatus for using raw EEG or MEG data, hereinafter called e-data, to detect and predict epileptic seizures, it was necessary for the inventors to separate artifact data from raw data in order to process the artifact-free data and detect or predict epileptic seizure. The artifact data is used in the present invention for alertness detection. In order to separate the artifact data from the raw data while preserving phase relationships and characteristics in both the artifact-free data and the artifact data, it was necessary to develop a zero-phase filter and method for using the filter.

John A. Stern, Donna Boyer, and David Schroeder, in Human Factors, 1994, 36(2), pp 285-297, indicates that researchers "have been less concerned with physical and environmental factors responsible for the act of blinking and more concerned with psychological or behavioral variables that may affect blink rate. One such factor is fatigue, a term difficult to

SUBSTITUTE SHEET (RULE 26)

define, especially if one prefaces it with the term mental. Physical fatigue is generally defined with respect to a reduction in capacity to perform physical work as a function of immediately preceding physical effort. Mental fatigue is inferred from decrements in performance on tasks requiring alertness and the manipulation and retrieval of information stored in memory." Stern et al. suggest that mental fatigue is physiologically measurable, and further single out the eye blink as a major reflector of mental fatigue processes.

Stern et al. also note that it is their "contention that the application of electrodes for the recording of variables of interest is acceptable in laboratory investigations but is, for a variety of reasons, not possible in real-world attempts at monitoring attentional processes."

Blink Rate: A Possible Measure of Fatigue, Human Factors, 1994, 36(2), pages 285-297 by John A. Stern, Donna Boyer, and David Schroeder is hereby incorporated by reference.

Because the raw EEG data used in this study was taken from only one channel of a standard sixteen-channel standard EEG data set, this invention demonstrates that Stern et al contend invalidly that "the application of electrodes for the recording of variables of interest is acceptable in laboratory investigations but is, for a variety of reasons, not possible in real-world attempts at monitoring attentional processes." Data can be easily taken by one or a few electrodes mounted in eyeglasses, hat, headband or other easily-worn or easily-attached device on the head, contacting the scalp. From the electrode or electrodes, data is transmitted to the apparatus which digitizes, filters, and analyzes the data and provides notification or alarm.

EEG and MEG brain wave data contains not only signals associated with brain activity, but also has artifacts (e.g., eye blinks, muscle twitches, chewing, etc.) that obscure the brain-wave signal. In developing methods and apparatus for epileptic seizure detection and prediction by non-linear methods, a novel zero-phase filter was developed to remove low-frequency artifacts, based on the following criterion. A zero-phase-shift filter was needed to prevent phase distortions when subtracting the filter output (the "artifact" signal) from the EEG signal to yield an undistorted artifact-filtered or artifact-free signal, because phase relationships are most important in the subsequent nonlinear analysis. Standard high-pass filter techniques do not meet this criterion. A computationally fast, simple, low-frequency signal follower was necessary to eventually apply the filter in real- or near-real time. Consequently,

6

quadratic regression analysis was used, with the same number of data samples on either side of a central point. Other standard digital filtering methods (45) could not meet this requirement.

The zero-phase filter method, which could be embodied in an integrated-circuit chip, is as follows. For a specific EEG channel, the signal (e) at time (t) is sampled at regular intervals $(t_i = i \Delta t)$ to yield a set of time serial data $e_i = e(t_i)$. We selected a filter-window length of $2n + 1$ points from the time series, where n is the number of points on either side of the central point (e_c) as indicated in the sequence below.

$$\text{central point}$$
$$|$$
$$\underbrace{e_{c-n}, e_{c-n+1}, \ldots, e_{c-1},}_{\text{n points}} e_c, \underbrace{e_{c+1}, \ldots, e_{c+n-1}, e_{c+n}}_{\text{n points}}$$

The data was fitted to a quadratic equation that takes the form

$$F_i = F(t_i) = a_1 (t_i - t_c)^2 + a_2 (t_i - t_c) + a_3 = a_1 T_i^2 + a_2 T_i + a_3$$

Here, $t_c = c \Delta t$ is the time at the central point, and $T_i = t_i - t_c$. This approximation is fitted to the data, by minimizing the sum of squares of the differences between the quadratic equation, F(t), and the raw EEG data, e(t), corresponding to the minimum in the following function:

$$L = \sum_{i=c-n}^{c+n} [F(t_i) - e(t_i)]^2 = \sum_{i=-n}^{n} [(a_1 T_i^2 + a_2 T_i + a_3) - e_{i+c}]^2 \qquad (1)$$

The minimum in L is found from the condition $\partial L / \partial a_k = 0$, for $k = \{1, 2, 3\}$, forming three simultaneous linear equations in three unknowns. The window-averaged artifact (F_c) is given by the fitted value of the central point, $F_c = F(0) = a_3$. Note that the sums

SUBSTITUTE SHEET (RULE 26)

over odd powers of T_i are zero and that symmetric sums over even powers of T_i (over i from -n to +n) can be converted to sums from 1 to n with $T_i = i \, \Delta t$, yielding a window-averaged solution for the artifact signal:

$$F_c = \frac{3 \, (\, 3n^2 + 3n - 1)(\, \Sigma_i \, e_{i+c}) - 15 \, (\Sigma_i \, i^2 \, e_{i+c})}{(4n^2 + 4n - 3) \, (2n + 1)} \qquad (2)$$

Here, Σ_i indicates the sum over i from -n to +n. Sums over even powers of "i" were explicitly evaluated with standard formulae (36). The effort to evaluate F_c can be reduced substantially by computing the sums initially from Eq. 2 (at $c = n + 1$), and then using the following recursions thereafter:

$$\sum_{i=-n}^{n} e_{i+c+1} = e_{c+n+1} - e_{c-n} + \sum_{i=-n}^{n} e_{i+c} \qquad (3)$$

$$\sum_{i=-n}^{n} i \, e_{i+c+1} = n \, e_{c+n+1} + (n + 1) \, e_{c-n} + \sum_{i=-n}^{n} i \, e_{i+c} - \sum_{i=-n}^{n} e_{i+c} \qquad (4)$$

$$\sum_{i=-n}^{n} i^2 \, e_{i+c+1} = n^2 \, e_{c+n+1} - (n + 1)^2 \, e_{c-n} + \sum_{i=-n}^{n} i^2 \, e_{i+c} - 2 \sum_{i=-n}^{n} i \, e_{i+c} + \sum_{i=-n}^{n} e_{i+c} \qquad (5)$$

The right-hand sides of Eqs. 3-5 only involve the sums previously computed. Application of Eqs. 2-5 to the N-point set of original time serial EEG data (e_i) yields an artifact dataset $(f_i$ or f-data) with (N-2n) points that contains the low frequency artifact signal.

8

The filter-window length $(n=128)$ corresponds to a frequency of 2.0 Hz $[=512$

$Hz/(2n +1)]$. Figure 3a shows an example of the application of this method, with (raw) e-

data in light gray and a superimposed (dark line) artifact signal (f-data), which clearly follows

the low-frequency trends. Figure 3b shows the residual signal (g-data) for this example, as

having little low-frequency component while retaining the higher frequency information.

In one embodiment, eyelid movement, or eye blinks are detected and analyzed to

monitor alertness and detect drowsiness or fatigue. Changes in eye blink such as frequency of

blinks, and more especially changes in the period when the eye is closed may be interpreted as

indicators of fatigue or drowsiness.

Eye-blink artifact can be recognized as a triangular waveform present in channel 5 and

13 using the bipolar montage. The triangular waveform for eye-blink has a duration of about

0.2 seconds. The eye-blink artifact normally occurs as multiple events where the time period

between blinks is on the order of one second. The eye-blink artifact can be detected using a

finite-impulse response (FIR) digital filter that has been tuned to detect the eye-blink

waveform. The peak output of the eye-blink FIR corresponds to the center of the eye-blink

waveform.

In other embodiments, other artifacts such as yawns and other movements of the head,

jaw, or eyes may be detected and used, instead of or in conjunction with, eye blink artifacts to

indicate alertness or absence of alertness.

While there has been shown and described what are at present considered the preferred

embodiments of the invention, it will be obvious to those skilled in the art that various changes

and modifications can be made therein without departing from the scope of the inventions

defined by the appended claims.

9

What is claimed is:

(1) A method for automatically extracting low-frequency artifacts from brain waves comprising the steps of:

    (a) providing at least one channel of raw brain wave data, called e-data, selected from the group consisting of electroencephalogram data and magnetoencephalogram data; and

    (b) separating artifact data, called f-data, from the e-data while preventing phase distortions in the data, by passing the e-data through a zero-phase quadratic filter which provides an output of f-data, whereby the f-data may be further analyzed to detect alertness.

(2) The method as described in Claim1 wherein said zero-phase quadratic filter comprises a first equation that takes the form

$$F_i = F(t_i) = a_1 (t_i - t_c)^2 + a_2 (t_i - t_c) + a_3 = a_1 T_i^2 + a_2 T_i + a_3 \; ;$$

wherein $t_c = c \, \Delta t$ is the time at the central point, and $T_i = t_i - t_c$; this approximation is fitted to the data, by minimizing the sum of squares of the differences between the quadratic equation, $F(t)$, and the raw electroencephalogram data, $e(t)$, corresponding to the minimum in the function represented by a second equation

$$L = \sum_{i=c-n}^{c+n} [F(t_i) - e(t_i)]^2 = \sum_{i=-n}^{n} [(a_1 T_i^2 + a_2 T_i + a_3) - e_{i+c}]^2 \; ;$$

the minimum in L is found from the condition $\partial L / \partial a_k = 0$, for $k = \{1, 2, 3\}$, forming three simultaneous linear equations in three unknowns; the window-averaged artifact (F_c) is given by the fitted value of the central point, $F_c = F(0) = a_3$; the sums over odd powers of T_i are zero and symmetric sums over even powers of T_i (over i from -n to +n) can be converted to sums from 1 to n with $T_i = i \, \Delta t$, yielding a

10

SUBSTITUTE SHEET (RULE 26)

window-averaged solution for the artifact signal which is represented by a third equation

$$F_c = \frac{3\,(\,3n^2 + 3n - 1\,)(\,\Sigma_i\, e_{i+c}) - 15\,(\Sigma_i\, i^2\, e_{i+c})}{(4n^2 + 4n - 3)\,(2n + 1)}$$

(3)   The method described in Claim 2 wherein the sums initially computed from the third equation in Claim 2 are further computed using the equations

$$\sum_{i=-n}^{n} e_{i+c+1} = e_{c+n+1} - e_{c-n} + \sum_{i=-n}^{n} e_{i+c}\,,$$

$$\sum_{i=-n}^{n} i\, e_{i+c+1} = n\, e_{c+n+1} + (n+1)\, e_{c-n} + \sum_{i=-n}^{n} i\, e_{i+c} - \sum_{i=-n}^{n} e_{i+c} \qquad , \text{ and}$$

$$\sum_{i=-n}^{n} i^2\, e_{i+c+1} = n^2\, e_{c+n+1} - (n+1)^2\, e_{c-n} + \sum_{i=-n}^{n} i^2\, e_{i+c} - 2\sum_{i=-n}^{n} i\, e_{i+c} + \sum_{i=-n}^{n} e_{i+c};$$

to yield an artifact dataset that contains the f-data.

(4)   Apparatus for automatically extracting low-frequency artifacts from brain waves comprising:

    (a)   data provision means for providing at least one channel of the subject's raw brain wave data, called e-data, selected from the group consisting of electroencephalogram data and magnetoencephalogram data; and

    (b)   separation means for separating artifact data, called f-data, from the e-data while preventing phase distortions in the data, said separation means

SUBSTITUTE SHEET (RULE 26)

comprising a zero-phase quadratic filter providing an output of f-data, said separation means communicably connected to said data provision means, whereby the f-data may be further analyzed to detect alertness.

(5)   The apparatus as described in Claim 4 wherein the data is fit to a first equation that takes the form

$$F_i = F(t_i) = a_1 (t_i - t_c)^2 + a_2 (t_i - t_c) + a_3 = a_1 T_i^2 + a_2 T_i + a_3 ;$$

wherein $t_c = c \, \Delta t$ is the time at the central point, and $T_i = t_i - t_c$; this approximation is fitted to the data, by minimizing the sum of squares of the differences between the quadratic equation, $F(t)$, and the raw electroencephalogram data, $e(t)$, corresponding to the minimum in the function represented by a second equation

$$L = \sum_{i=c-n}^{c+n} [F(t_i) - e(t_i)]^2 = \sum_{i=-n}^{n} [(a_1 T_i^2 + a_2 T_i + a_3) - e_{i+c}]^2 ;$$

the minimum in L is found from the condition $\partial L / \partial a_k = 0$, for $k = \{1, 2, 3\}$, forming three simultaneous linear equations in three unknowns; the window-averaged artifact (F_c) is given by the fitted value of the central point, $F_c = F(0) = a_3$; the sums over odd powers of T_i are zero and symmetric sums over even powers of T_i (over i from -n to +n) can be converted to sums from 1 to n with $T_i = i \, \Delta t$, yielding a window-averaged solution for the artifact signal which is represented by a third equation

$$F_c = \frac{3 (3n^2 + 3n - 1)(\Sigma_i \, e_{i+c}) - 15 (\Sigma_i \, i^2 \, e_{i+c})}{(4n^2 + 4n - 3) (2n + 1)}$$

12

(6)   The apparatus described in Claim 5 wherein the sums initially computed from the third

equation in Claim 5 are further computed using the equations

$$\sum_{i=-n}^{n} e_{i+c+1} = e_{c+n+1} - e_{c-n} + \sum_{i=-n}^{n} e_{i+c} \; ,$$

$$\sum_{i=-n}^{n} i \, e_{i+c+1} = n \, e_{c+n+1} + (n+1) \, e_{c-n} + \sum_{i=-n}^{n} i \, e_{i+c} - \sum_{i=-n}^{n} e_{i+c} \qquad , \text{ and}$$

$$\sum_{i=-n}^{n} i^2 \, e_{i+c+1} = n^2 \, e_{c+n+1} - (n+1)^2 \, e_{c-n} + \sum_{i=-n}^{n} i^2 \, e_{i+c} - 2 \sum_{i=-n}^{n} i \, e_{i+c} + \sum_{i=-n}^{n} e_{i+c} ;$$

to yield an artifact dataset that contains the f-data.

(7)   A zero-phase filter for separating artifact brain wave data from raw brain wave data

selected from the group consisting of electroencephalogram data and

magnetoencephalogram data comprising a zero-phase quadratic filter wherein the data

is fit to a first equation that takes the form

$$F_i = F(t_i) = a_1 \, (t_i - t_c)^2 + a_2 \, (t_i - t_c) + a_3 = a_1 \, T_i^2 + a_2 \, T_i + a_3 \; ;$$

wherein $t_c = c \, \Delta t$ is the time at the central point, and $T_i = t_i - t_c$; this

approximation is fitted to the data, by minimizing the sum of squares of the differences

between the quadratic equation, $F(t)$, and the raw electroencephalogram data, $e(t)$,

corresponding to the minimum in the function represented by a second equation

$$L = \sum_{i=c-n}^{c+n} [F(t_i) - e(t_i)]^2 = \sum_{i=-n}^{n} [(a_1 \, T_i^2 + a_2 \, T_i + a_3) - e_{i+c}]^2 \; ;$$

the minimum in L is found from the condition $\partial L / \partial a_k = 0$, for $k = \{1, 2, 3\}$,

forming three simultaneous linear equations in three unknowns; the window-averaged

artifact (F_c) is given by the fitted value of the central point, $F_c = F(0) = a_3$; the

SUBSTITUTE SHEET (RULE 26)

sums over odd powers of T_i are zero and symmetric sums over even powers of T_i (over i from -n to +n) can be converted to sums from 1 to n with $T_i = i \, \Delta t$, yielding a window-averaged solution for the artifact signal which is represented by a third equation

$$F_c = \frac{3\,(\,3n^2 + 3n - 1)(\,\Sigma_i\, e_{i+c}) - 15\,(\Sigma_i\, i^2\, e_{i+c})}{(4n^2 + 4n - 3)\,(2n + 1)} \quad ; \text{ and}$$

the filter is embodied in a programmed integrated circuit semiconductor chip.

(8)   The zero-phase filter described in Claim 7 wherein the sums initially computed from the third equation in Claim 7 are further computed using the equations

$$\sum_{i=-n}^{n} e_{i+c+1} = e_{c+n+1} - e_{c-n} + \sum_{i=-n}^{n} e_{i+c} \ ,$$

$$\sum_{i=-n}^{n} i\, e_{i+c+1} = n\, e_{c+n+1} + (n+1)\, e_{c-n} + \sum_{i=-n}^{n} i\, e_{i+c} - \sum_{i=-n}^{n} e_{i+c} \ , \text{ and}$$

$$\sum_{i=-n}^{n} i^2\, e_{i+c+1} = n^2\, e_{c+n+1} - (n+1)^2\, e_{c-n} + \sum_{i=-n}^{n} i^2\, e_{i+c} - 2\sum_{i=-n}^{n} i\, e_{i+c} + \sum_{i=-n}^{n} e_{i+c} \ ;$$

to yield an artifact dataset that contains the artifact brain wave data.

14

SUBSTITUTE SHEET (RULE 26)

Fig. 1

Fig. 2

Fig. 3

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

A.	CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER

IPC(6)   :A61B 5/00
US CL   :128/731, 732
According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B.	FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

U.S. : 128/731, 732

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)

APS

C.	DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	US 5,311,876 A (OLSEN et al) 17 May 1994, entire document.	1-8
A	US 5,349,962 A (LOCKARD et al) 27 September 1994, entire document.	1-8
A	US 5,392,788 A (HUDSPETH) 28 February 1995, entire document.	1-8

☐   Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.   ☐   See patent family annex.

*	Special categories of cited documents:	"T"	later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention
"A"	document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance		
"E"	earlier document published on or after the international filing date	"X"	document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone
"L"	document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)	"Y"	document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art
"O"	document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means		
"P"	document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed	"&"	document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search	Date of mailing of the international search report
02 JULY 1997	2 1 JUL 1997

Name and mailing address of the ISA/US Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Box PCT Washington, D.C. 20231	Authorized officer STEPHEN HUANG
Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230	Telephone No. (703) 308-3399

Form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)(July 1992)*